

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,085	03/31/2004	David Miceli	N1200	7263
7590 09/30/2005			EXAMINER	
Phillip E. Walker			HYLTON, ROBIN ANNETTE	
414 Union Street, Suite 2020 Nashville, TN 37219			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3727	

DATE MAILED: 09/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•	$\boldsymbol{\psi}$				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/814,085	MICELI ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Robin A. Hylton	3727				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>-</u> .	. •				
2a) <u></u> □	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)	<i>,</i> —						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims	· .					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Applicati	on Papers						
9) X 10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of the confere	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 7-6-04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 10/814,085

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Oath/Declaration

1. Applicant's declaration erroneously indicates the filing date of the parent application 09/938,292 to be August 21, 2004. Correction is required.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: punctuation is missing at the end of the claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the first line of the specification should be updated with respect to the continuing data. Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/814,085 Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 5. Claims 1-13 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of U.S. Patent No. 6,802,427 in view of Kao (US 5,497,879). The patent claims do not teach indicia, i.e., a warning, on the inner surface of the upper surface of the inner cap. Kao teaches a cap having a warning on the inner surface of the upper surface of the cap. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of a warning on the inner surface of the upper surface of the inner cap of the patent claims. Doing so allows for one to easily determine the child-resistant or non-child resistant state of the closed container.
- 6. Claims 1-10 and 12-14 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1,6-12, 17, and 18 of copending Application No. 10,356,493 (US 2004/0226907) in view of Kao. The published claims of the co-pending applicant do not teach indicia, i.e., a warning, on the inner surface of the upper surface of the inner cap. Kao teaches a cap having a warning on the inner surface of the upper surface of the cap. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of a warning on the inner surface of the upper surface of the inner cap of the patent claims. Doing so allows for one to easily determine the child-resistant or non-child resistant state of the closed container.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 1-13 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under non-statutory double patenting, set forth in this Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,085 Page 4

Art Unit: 3727

Conclusion

8. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (571) 273-8300. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 3720 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.

9. It is called to applicant's attention that if a communication is faxed before the reply time has expired, applicant may submit the reply with a "Certificate of Facsimile" which merely asserts that the reply is being faxed on a given date. So faxed, before the period for reply has expired, the reply may be considered timely. A suggested format for a certificate follows:

	hereby certify that this correspondence for Application Serial No is being for Patent and Trademark Office via fax number 571-273-8300 on the date shown below	
Т	Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate	
 S	Signature	,
Г	Date	

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robin Hylton whose telephone number is (571) 272-4540. The examiner can normally be reached Monday - Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Newhouse, can be reached on (571) 272-4544.

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are missing, e.g., copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers should be directed to Errica Miller at (571) 272-4370.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status

Application/Control Number: 10/814,085 Page 5

Art Unit: 3727

information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RAH September 27, 2005

> Robin A. Nylton Primary Examiner GAU 3727